Introduction

Chronic urticaria (CU) is a dermatological disease characterized by the rapid appearance of itchy hives, angioedema or both, lasting for 6 weeks or more [1]. Approximately 0.5–1% of the general population suffers from CU and over 60% of cases are classified as chronic spontaneous (previcusl ' termed idiopathic) urticaria (CSU), for which no obvious triggers can be identified [2,3]. The avarabe duration of CSU is generally up to 5 years, although more severe cases can last considerably longer [2,4]. The EAACI/GA2/LEN/EDF/WAO urticaria guidelines suggest using daily non-selating (second generation) H1-antihistamines as first-line treatment [1]. As second-line tharably, an increase up to four times the licensed antihistamine dose may be beneficial, but around 45% of patients fail to respond [5]. For these cases, omalizumab is recommended as add-on therapy, as third-line treatment option.

Omalizumab is a humanized monoclonal antibodi recognizing the Fc portion of the immunoglobulin E (IgE) molecule. It is thought to reduce IgT - a. d rccRI-mediated mast cell and basophil activation [6,7], with a similar outcome on both mast cells and basophils. Launched around 20 years ago to treat patients with severe asthmation responsive to standard treatment it is currently used in several other allergic conditions including refractory CSU since 2013, displaying high efficacy and safety, especially when compared to first- and second-line therapies.

Since then, a number of studies have been published, especially in real-life settings, aimed at finding the best stretegy to administer omalizumab to optimize the treatment outcome.

A cording to the review by Tonacci et al. [8], omalizumab 300 mg administered every 4 weeks appears to be the most effective and safe dose for the treatment of CSU, showing rapid response time. This approach displays minor adverse effects, and appears to be safe also when administered to pregnant women and their offspring. However, after the discontinuation of the drug, relapses may occur, with urticaria activity scores (UAS7) returning to pre-treatment levels in some cases, along with a poorer quality of life . In such cases, retreatment is advisable in order to increase patients' quality of life. Nevertheless, it is not clear which strategies in terms of dose and/or timing of omalizumab administration are the best to achieve good retreatment response. Within this framework, a literature review on studies about retreatment with omalizumab in CSU was carrier' out and results are presented and critically discussed in the present article.

accepted

znusci

Materials and Methods

anuscill

We performed a literature search in PubMed until January 2020 by using logical combinations of the following terms: 'urticaria, chronic', 'urticaria, idiopathic', 'urticaria, chronic spontaneous', 'omalizumab', 'anti-IgE' and 'retreatment'. We included reports of original data, including double blind placebo-controlled, randomized controlled trials (DBPC-RCT), RCTs, open controlled trials, observational studies, and retrospective trials. We excluded: (1) case reports, systematic reviews, review articles, meta-analyses, as well as papers not published in English languar,e.

accedence

# Results

N

According to the inclusion and exclusion criteria mentioned above, a handful of studies were

retrieved. Overall literature search results are displayed in Table 1.

Study	No. of patients	Treatment	Retreatment	Results
Metz et al., 2014 [9]	25 patients with CSU and/or CIndU (aged 18-74 years; 18 women)	150 to 600 mg/month subcutaneously in 2- to 4-week intervals	Retreatment initiated after the recurrence of symptoms. All patients received the same dose of omalizumab in the same interval as the last successful treatment before discontinuation	Rapid and complete resprinte after the first injection within the first 4 weel's of retreatment for all patients
Mandel et al., 2018 [10]	18 patients with refractory CSU (aged 25–74 years; 14 women)	300 mg every 4 weeks for 6 months	3 patients retreated with the same treatment as the initial one	Con plete response (UAS7 = 0) worin 16 weeks; good response (UAS7 ≤ 6) within one week
Nettis et al., 2018 [11]	31 patients with refractory CSU (mean age: 48.1 ± 13.4; 22 women)	300 mg every 4 weeks for 24 weeks subcutaneously (first treatment course)	Retroation and (second and the a treatment course) at least 8 weeks after the end of the previous course	First course: complete response for all patients, relapse within 5-20 weeks. Second course: complete response in 93.5% of patients. Symptoms remission within 5- 16 weeks after their last injection. Third course: complete remission in 93.8%. ≥ 8 weeks after the administration of the last dose, 68.7% had relapse of CSU. Complete therapeutic response in 4.9 weeks (first course), 3.8 weeks (second course), 1.8 weeks (third course)
ל etti, et יו., 2018 יו2]	24 patients with refractory CSU (mean age: 48.0 ± 13.7; 14 women)	300 mg every 4 weeks for 24 weeks (first treatment course)	300 mg every 4 weeks for 24 weeks (second course) after 8–16- week follow-up	First course: good efficacy; relapse within 9-19 weeks. Similar efficacy during re- treatment, with slightly lower efficacy compared to the first course
Türk et al., 2018 [13]	25 patients with CSU	300 mg/4 weeks for at least 3 months	In all patients with complete or partial response:	58% had complete response at the end of treatment. At the

Image: 31-49; 18 women)discontinuation of omalizumab after 6 months; retreatment at the same initial dose if the recurred disease couldn't be controlled with concomitant medications3rd month, 32% had complete response. restarted in 10 of them. After couldn't be controlled with concomitant medicationsMatucci et al., 201930 patients uith CSU (age: 20-70; 22 women)300 mg/4-week intervals for 6 administrationsRetreatment with the same protocol in relapsing patients; in case of a second relapse, a third relapse, a third response.Cycle 1: After o months, 83.4% weeks / 18 mo. th.Vollono et al., 201932 patients with CSU (age: 27-72; 22 women)Subcutaneccu: 5.10 mg/4 week, as and on Hi- ant mit, anunes for 6 month; set and performedRetreatment with the same protocol in relapse, a third respont, ers, 3.3% did not respont, ers, 3.3% relapsed. Cycle 2: 14/15 improved their symptoms (57.1% complete remission). Mean response time: 5.0 ± 1.3 vs 6.1 ± 1.4 weeks (first cycle). S3.3% relapsed. Cycle 3: 7/7 had complete remission. I spatients completed 2 cycles of treatment, 10 patients had undergone 1/2 cycle of treatment. Mild, transient local skin immediate reations observed in one patient. 20 patients added second- generation H1-anthipstamines due to pati			1	1	
Matucci et al., 201930 patients with CSU (age: 20-70; [14]300 mg/4-week intervals for 6 administrationsRetreatment with the same protocol in relapsing patients; in case of a second relapse, a third treatment was performedCycle 1: After ormonths, 83.4% were resnon, lerr, 13.3% partial respon, ers, 3.3% did not respon, ers, 3.3% did not <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>omalizumab after 6 months; retreatment at the same initial dose if the recurred disease couldn't be controlled with concomitant</td> <td>response. Eleven patients experienced relapse, omalizumab was restarted in 10 of them. After the re-initiation of omalizumab, 5 had complete response and 5 had partial response. Seven patients achieved remission after discontinuation. Time f. c. n. he</td>				omalizumab after 6 months; retreatment at the same initial dose if the recurred disease couldn't be controlled with concomitant	response. Eleven patients experienced relapse, omalizumab was restarted in 10 of them. After the re-initiation of omalizumab, 5 had complete response and 5 had partial response. Seven patients achieved remission after discontinuation. Time f. c. n. he
Matucci et al., 2019300 mg/4-week intervals for 6 administrationsRetreatment with the same protocol in relapsing patients; in case of a second relapse, a third treatment was performedCycle 1: Afre ormonths, 83.4% were responders, 13.3% partial responders, 1.4cr complete response: 5.8 1 weeks. 75 1% relapsed within 12.5 ± 4.0 weeks. Cycle 2: 14/15 improved their symptoms (57.1% complete remission) Mean response time: 5.0 ± 1.3 vs 6.1 ± 1.4 weeks (first cycle). 53.8% relapsed. Cycle 3: 7/7 had complete remissionVollono et al., 2019 [15]32 patients with CSU (age: 27-72; 					
et al., 2019with CSU (age: 27-72; 22 women)mg/ 4 weeks as add-on to H 1- antiniz amines for 6 mc nt isfor 5 months in case of recurrence in an 8-week treatment interruptionof treatment, 10 patients had completed 1 cycle of treatment, 8 patients had undergone 1/2 cycle of treatment.[15]22 women)mg/ 4 weeks as add-on to H 1- antiniz amines for 6 mc nt isfor 5 months in case of recurrence in an 8-week treatment interruptionof treatment, 10 patients had completed 1 cycle of treatment, 8 patients had undergone 1/2 cycle of treatment.[15]22 women)mt niz amines for 6 mc nt isfor 5 months in case of recurrence in an 8-week treatment interruptionof treatment, 10 patients had completed 1 cycle of treatment, 8 patients had undergone 1/2 cycle of treatment.[15]20 patientsadd-on to H 1- antiniz amines for 5 months in case of or thisof treatment, 10 patients had completed 1 cycle of treatment.[15]20 women)6 mc nt is20 patientsadd-on generation H1-antihistamines due to persistence of pruritus	et al 2019	., with CSU (age: 20-70;	intervals for 6	same protocol in relapsing patients; in case of a second relapse, a third treatment was	Cycle 1: A'te c months, 83.4% were responders, 13.3% partial responders, 3.3% did not responders, 3.3% did not responders
Table 1. Studies retrieved in the literature search.	et al 2019 [15]	., with CSU (age: 27-72; 22 women)	mg/ 4 weeks as add-on to H1- antinit, amines for 6 mc ntins	for 5 months in case of recurrence in an 8-week treatment interruption	<ul> <li>13 patients completed 2 cycles of treatment, 10 patients had completed 1 cycle of treatment, 8 patients had undergone 1/2 cycle of treatment.</li> <li>Mild, transient local skin immediate reactions observed in one patient.</li> <li>20 patients added second- generation H1-antihistamines due to persistence of pruritus and wheals after 2–4 weeks of treatment with omalizumab</li> </ul>

The works retrieved included a relatively low number of patients, mostly women, and were all

concordant in stating that the first subcutaneous dose of omalizumab should be 300 mg

administered every 4 weeks, with the exception of Metz et al.[9], where the initial dose and administration interval differed according to the dose and interval used to obtain a remission during the first treatment course.

Where information on dosage was available, retreatment was carried out with the same dose of omalizumab administered during the previous round. Overall, retreatment with omalizumab was safe and effective in nearly all cases, with minor side effects reported, including mild, trans ent local immediate skin reactions [15]. Furthermore, the time to achieve complete remission decreased with subsequent treatment cycles. As observed by Nettis et al. [.1,1], patients showed an average complete remission after 4.9 weeks during the first treatment of urse, dropping to 3.8 and 1.8 on the second and third retreatment courses, respectively, i.e. ce demonstrating an increased rapidity of response to treatment after multiple cycle.

Another important strategy to consider is how to one ose the best timing for retreatment. From our review it is difficult to draw definite conclusions, since all the studies adopted different timing protocols and all of them obtained latistying results. However, both the studies by Metz et al. [9], where the timing was adapted according to the occurrence of relapses, and Nettis et al. [11], where omalizumab was adapted at least 8 weeks after the end of the previous course, achieved optimal regula.

nue

#### Conclusions

anusci

The present review confirms the optimal efficacy and safety of omalizumab to treat refractory CSU in most cases. Overall, retreatment seems to provide the best results in terms of efficacy using the same dose as in the first cycle, usually 300 mg injected subcutaneously every 4 weeks. In any case, the interval between twr subsequent treatment courses should be controlled and not exceed 8 weeks, to avoid delayed efficacy.

Future studies should address retreatment efficacy on larger samples, also trying to reduce the current gender bias by including more male subjects with CSU treated with omalizumab..

accept

References

[1] Zuberbier T, Aberer W, Asero R, Abdul Latiff AH, Baker D, Ballmer-Weber B, et al. Allergy. 2018; 73(7): 1393-1414.

[2] Maurer M, Weller K, Bindslev-Jensen C, Giménez-Arnau A, Bousquet PJ, Bousquet J, et al. Allergy 2011; 66(3): 317-330.

[3] Zuberbier T. Curr Allergy Asthma Rep. 2012; 12(4): 267-272.

[4] Beltrani VS. Clin Rev Allergy Immunol. 2002; 23(2): 147-169.

[5] Staevska M, Popov TA, Kralimarkova T, Lazarova C, Kraeva S, Popova D, et al. J Allergy C'in In munol 2010; 125: 676-682.

[6] Saini SS, MacGlashan D. How IgE upregulates the allergic response. Curr Opin 'mmuno'. 2002; 14: 694–697.

[7] Beck LA, Marcotte GV, MacGlashan D, Togias A, Saini S. Omalizumab-induced eductions in mast cell FccRI expression and function. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2004; 114: 527–500

[8] Tonacci A, Billeci L, Pioggia G, Navarra M, Gangemi S. Omalizur ab fo. the Treatment of Chronic Idiopathic Urticaria: Systematic Review of the Literature. Pharmacothe. ppy. 2017; 37(4): 464-480.

[9] Metz M, Ohanyan T, Church MK, Maurer M. Retreatmont with omalizumab results in rapid remission in chronic spontaneous and inducible urticaria. J. MA Dermatol 2014; 150: 288–290.

[10] Mandel VD, Guanti MB, Liberati S, Demonte A, Concerni G, Pepe P. Omalizumab in Chronic Spontaneous Urticaria Refractory to Conventional The app: An Italian Retrospective Clinical Analysis with Suggestions for Long-Term Maintenance Strategies Lormatol Ther (Heidelb). 2018; 8(2): 291-301.

[11] Nettis E, Di Leo E, Foti C, Cegolon L V: cca A. Efficacy and rapid activity of omalizumab retreatment in chronic spontaneous urticaria. J Am Acad Octmatol. 2018; 78(6): 1211-1213.

[12] Nettis E, Cegolon L, Macchi I L, 7aza I, Calogiuri G, Di Leo E. Efficacy of Omalizumab Treatment with Concomitant Antihistamines as No Poleo for Moderate, Refractory Chronic Spontaneous Urticaria. Acta Derm Venereol. 2018; 98(4): 44(-4-48.

[13] Türk M, Yılmaz i, Lahyacioğlu SN. Treatment and retreatment with omalizumab in chronic spontaneous urticaria: New life experience with twenty-five patients. Allergol Int 2018; 67: 85–89.

[14] Matuc JA, Nancini F, Rossi O, Pratesi S, Parronchi P, Maggi E, Vultaggio A. The percentage of patients achieving complete remission of urticaria increases with repeated courses of treatment. J Allergy Clin Immunol Fract. 2019; 7(1): 339-340.

[15] Colono L, Piccolo A, Lanna C, Esposito M, Bavetta M, Campione E, Bianchi L, Diluvio L. Omalizumab for chronic spontaneous urticaria in "complex" patients: data from real-life clinical practice. Drug Des Devel Thor. 2019; 13: 3181-3186. Omalizumab retreatment in patients with chronic spontaneous urticaria: a systematic review of published evidence

Alessandro Tonacci (1), Eustachio Nettis (2), Riccardo Asero (3), Oliviero Rossi (4), Chiara Tontini (5), Sebastiano Gangemi (6).

1) Institute of Clinical Physiology-National Research Council of Italy (IFC-CNR), Pisa, Italy

2) Department of Emergency and Organ Transplantation, School and Chair of Aller برياد v and Clinical Immunology, University of Bari - Aldo Moro, Bari, Italy.

3) Ambulatorio di Allergologia, Clinica San Carlo, Paderno Dugnano (MI), Ita.

4) ImmunoAllergology Unit, Careggi University Hospital, Florence, Italv

5) Allergy Unit, Azienda Ospedaliero-Universitaria Ospedali Riuniti, Ancona (AN), Italy

6) School and Unit of Allergy and Clinical Immunology, Departments of Clinical and Experimental Medicine, University of Messina, Messina, Italy.

Key words: Chronic urticaria, Omalizumab, retreationent, therapy.

Corresponding author:

Dr Riccardo Asero, Ambulatorio di Allergologia, Clinica San Carlo, Via Ospedale 21, 20037 Paderno Dugnano (MI).

r.asero@libero.it

## ABSTRACT

A systematic review of the current literature on retreatment with omalizumab of patients with relaping chronic spontaneous urticaria was performed. Published evidence shows that instructional response reduces and that time to complete clinical response reduces as the number of retreatments increases.

Introduction

Chronic urticaria (CU) is a dermatological disease characterized by the rapid appearance of itchy hives, angioedema or both, lasting for 6 weeks or more [1]. Approximately 0.5–1% of the general population suffers from CU and over 60% of cases are classified as chronic spontaneous (previcusl ' termed idiopathic) urticaria (CSU), for which no obvious triggers can be identified [2,3]. The avarabe duration of CSU is generally up to 5 years, although more severe cases can last considerably longer [2,4]. The EAACI/GA2/LEN/EDF/WAO urticaria guidelines suggest using daily non-selating (second generation) H1-antihistamines as first-line treatment [1]. As second-line tharably, an increase up to four times the licensed antihistamine dose may be beneficial, but around 45% of patients fail to respond [5]. For these cases, omalizumab is recommended as add-on therapy, as third-line treatment option.

Omalizumab is a humanized monoclonal antibodi recognizing the Fc portion of the immunoglobulin E (IgE) molecule. It is thought to reduce IgT - a. d rccRI-mediated mast cell and basophil activation [6,7], with a similar outcome on both mast cells and basophils. Launched around 20 years ago to treat patients with severe asthmation responsive to standard treatment it is currently used in several other allergic conditions including refractory CSU since 2013, displaying high efficacy and safety, especially when compared to first- and second-line therapies.

Since then, a number of studies have been published, especially in real-life settings, aimed at finding the best stretegy to administer omalizumab to optimize the treatment outcome.

A cording to the review by Tonacci et al. [8], omalizumab 300 mg administered every 4 weeks appears to be the most effective and safe dose for the treatment of CSU, showing rapid response time. This approach displays minor adverse effects, and appears to be safe also when administered to pregnant women and their offspring. However, after the discontinuation of the drug, relapses may occur, with urticaria activity scores (UAS7) returning to pre-treatment levels in some cases, along with a poorer quality of life . In such cases, retreatment is advisable in order to increase patients' quality of life. Nevertheless, it is not clear which strategies in terms of dose and/or timing of omalizumab administration are the best to achieve good retreatment response. Within this framework, a literature review on studies about retreatment with omalizumab in CSU was carrier' out and results are presented and critically discussed in the present article.

accepted

znusci

Materials and Methods

anuscit

We performed a literature search in PubMed until January 2020 by using logical combinations of the following terms: 'urticaria, chronic', 'urticaria, idiopathic', 'urticaria, chronic spontaneous', 'omalizumab', 'anti-IgE' and 'retreatment'. We included reports of original data, including double blind placebo-controlled, randomized controlled trials (DBPC-RCT), RCTs, open controlled trials, observational studies, and retrospective trials. We excluded: case reports, systematic reviews, review articles, meta-analyses, as well as papers not published in English languar,e.

accepted

# Results

N

According to the inclusion and exclusion criteria mentioned above, a handful of studies were

retrieved. Overall literature search results are displayed in Table 1.

Study	No. of	Treatment	Retreatment	Results
Metz et al., 2014 [9] Mandel et al., 2018 [10]	patients 25 patients with CSU and/or Chronic Inducible Urticaria (aged 18-74 years; 18 women) 18 patients with refractory CSU (aged 25–74 years;	150 to 600 mg/month in 2- to 4-week intervals 300 mg every 4 weeks for 6 months	Retreatment initiated after the recurrence of symptoms. All patients received the same dose of omalizumab in the same interval as the last successful treatment before discontinuation 3 patients retreated with the same treatment as he nitik l one	Rapid and complete response after the first injection within the first 4 weeks of retreatment for all patients $C_{r}$ mplete response (UAS7 = 0) within 16 weeks; good response (UAS7 $\leq$ 6) within one week
Nettis et al., 2018 [11]	14 women) 31 patients with refractory CSU (mean age: 48.1 ± 13.4; 22 women)	300 mg every 4 weeks for 24 weeks (first treatment course)	Retriation and (second and thing treatment coirse) at least 8 weeks ofter the end of the previous course	First course: complete response for all patients, relapse within 5-20 weeks. Second course: complete response in 93.5% of patients. Symptoms remission within 5- 16 weeks after their last injection. Third course: complete remission in 93.8%. ≥ 8 weeks after the administration of the last dose, 68.7% had relapse of CSU. Complete therapeutic response in 4.9 weeks (first course), 3.8 weeks (second course), 1.8 weeks (third course)
ि etti、et २१., 2018 ७12]	24 patients with refractory CSU (mean age: 48.0 ± 13.7; 14 women)	300 mg every 4 weeks for 24 weeks (first treatment course)	300 mg every 4 weeks for 24 weeks (second course) after 8–16- week follow-up	First course: good efficacy; relapse within 9-19 weeks. Similar efficacy during re- treatment, with slightly lower efficacy compared to the first course
Türk et al., 2018 [13]	25 patients with CSU	300 mg/4 weeks for at least 3 months	In all patients with complete or partial response:	58% had complete response at the end of treatment. At the

	(age: 31-49; 18 women)		discontinuation of omalizumab after 6 months; retreatment at the same initial dose if the recurred disease couldn't be controlled with concomitant medications	3rd month, 32% had complete response. Eleven patients experienced relapse, omalizumab was restarted in 10 of them. After the re-initiation of omalizumab, 5 had complete response and 5 had partial response. Seven patients achieved remission after discontinuation. Time f. c.m. he last omalizumab doi:e whs 8 weeks / 18 mo. th.
Matucci et al., 2019 [14]	30 patients with CSU (age: 20-70; 22 women)	300 mg/4-week intervals for 6 administrations	Retreatment with the same protocol in relapsing patients; in case of a second relapse, a third treatment was performed	Cycle 1: A <sup>c</sup> te. c m. nths, 83.4% were responder, 13.3% partial responders, 3.3% did not responders, 3.3% did not responder, and to achieve a part of complete response: 5.8 : 1 weeks. 75 1% relapsed within 12.5 ± 4.0 weeks. Cycle 2: 14/15 improved their symptoms (57.1% complete remission). Mean response time: 5.0 ± 1.3 vs 6.1 ± 1.4 weeks (first cycle). 53.8% relapsed. Cycle 3: 7/7 had complete remission
Vollono et al., 2019 [15]	32 patients with CSU (age: 27-72; 22 women)	300 mg/ 4 weeks as add-on in Hil- antihis ramines for 6 wonths	300 mg every 4 weeks for 5 months in case of recurrence in an 8-week treatment interruption	13 patients completed 2 cycles of treatment, 10 patients had completed 1 cycle of treatment, 8 patients had undergone 1/2 cycle of treatment. Mild, transient local skin immediate reactions observed in one patient. 20 patients added second- generation H1-antihistamines due to persistence of pruritus and wheals after 2–4 weeks of treatment with omalizumab monotherapy.

Table 1. Studies retrieved in the literature search.

### Discussion

The works retrieved included a relatively low number of patients, mostly women, and were all

concordant in stating that the first subcutaneous dose of omalizumab should be 300 mg

administered every 4 weeks, with the exception of Metz et al.[9], where the initial dose and administration interval differed according to the dose and interval used to obtain a remission during the first treatment course.

Where information on dosage was available, retreatment was carried out with the same dose of omalizumab administered during the previous round. Overall, retreatment with omalizumab was safe and effective in nearly all cases, with minor side effects reported, including mild, trans ent local immediate skin reactions [15]. Furthermore, the time to achieve complete remission decreased with subsequent treatment cycles. As observed by Nettis et al. [.1], patients showed an average complete remission after 4.9 weeks during the first treatment to urse, dropping to 3.8 and 1.8 on the second and third retreatment courses, respectively, i.e. ce demonstrating an increased rapidity of response to treatment after multiple cycle.

Another important strategy to consider is how to one ose the best timing for retreatment. From our review it is difficult to draw definite couldurions, since all the studies adopted different timing protocols andall of them obtained satisfying results. However, both the studies by Metz et al. [9], where the timing was adapted according to the occurrence of relapses, and Nettis et al. [11], where omalizumab was adapted at least 8 weeks after the end of the previous course, achieved optimal regula.

, nue

#### Conclusions

anusci

The present review confirms the optimal efficacy and safety of omalizumab to treat refractory CSU in most cases. Overall, retreatment seems to provide the best results in terms of efficacy using the same dose as in the first cycle, usually 300 mg injected subcutaneously every 4 weeks. In any case, the interval between twr subsequent treatment courses should be controlled and not exceed 8 weeks, to avoid delayed efficacy.

Future studies should address retreatment efficacy on larger samples, also trying to reduce the current gender bias by including more male subjects with CSU treated with omalizumab..

accept

References

[1] Zuberbier T, Aberer W, Asero R, Abdul Latiff AH, Baker D, Ballmer-Weber B, et al. Allergy. 2018; 73(7): 1393-1414.

[2] Maurer M, Weller K, Bindslev-Jensen C, Giménez-Arnau A, Bousquet PJ, Bousquet J, et al. Allergy 2011; 66(3): 317-330.

[3] Zuberbier T. Curr Allergy Asthma Rep. 2012; 12(4): 267-272.

[4] Beltrani VS. Clin Rev Allergy Immunol. 2002; 23(2): 147-169.

[5] Staevska M, Popov TA, Kralimarkova T, Lazarova C, Kraeva S, Popova D, et al. J Allergy C'in In munol 2010; 125: 676-682.

[6] Saini SS, MacGlashan D. How IgE upregulates the allergic response. Curr Opin 'mmuno'. 2002; 14: 694–697.

[7] Beck LA, Marcotte GV, MacGlashan D, Togias A, Saini S. Omalizumab-induced eductions in mast cell FccRI expression and function. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2004; 114: 527–500

[8] Tonacci A, Billeci L, Pioggia G, Navarra M, Gangemi S. Omalizur ab fo. the Treatment of Chronic Idiopathic Urticaria: Systematic Review of the Literature. Pharmacothe. ppy. 2017; 37(4): 464-480.

[9] Metz M, Ohanyan T, Church MK, Maurer M. Retreatmont with omalizumab results in rapid remission in chronic spontaneous and inducible urticaria. J. MA Dermatol 2014; 150: 288–290.

[10] Mandel VD, Guanti MB, Liberati S, Demonte A, Concerni G, Pepe P. Omalizumab in Chronic Spontaneous Urticaria Refractory to Conventional The app: An Italian Retrospective Clinical Analysis with Suggestions for Long-Term Maintenance Strategies Lormatol Ther (Heidelb). 2018; 8(2): 291-301.

[11] Nettis E, Di Leo E, Foti C, Cegolon L V: cca A. Efficacy and rapid activity of omalizumab retreatment in chronic spontaneous urticaria. J Am Acad Octmatol. 2018; 78(6): 1211-1213.

[12] Nettis E, Cegolon L, Macchi Ł, Zaza I, Calogiuri G, Di Leo E. Efficacy of Omalizumab Treatment with Concomitant Antihistamines as No Poco for Moderate, Refractory Chronic Spontaneous Urticaria. Acta Derm Venereol. 2018; 98(4): 44(-4-48.

[13] Türk M, Yılmaz i, Lahyacioğlu SN. Treatment and retreatment with omalizumab in chronic spontaneous urticaria: New life experience with twenty-five patients. Allergol Int 2018; 67: 85–89.

[14] Matuc JA, Nancini F, Rossi O, Pratesi S, Parronchi P, Maggi E, Vultaggio A. The percentage of patients achieving complete remission of urticaria increases with repeated courses of treatment. J Allergy Clin Immunol Fract. 2019; 7(1): 339-340.

[15] Colono L, Piccolo A, Lanna C, Esposito M, Bavetta M, Campione E, Bianchi L, Diluvio L. Omalizumab for chronic spontaneous urticaria in "complex" patients: data from real-life clinical practice. Drug Des Devel Thor. 2019; 13: 3181-3186.