

Tree nuts anaphylaxis in preschool age children

Joana Matias¹, Ângela Gaspar², Luís-Miguel Borrego^{2,3}, Susana Piedade², Graça Pires²,
Cristina Arêde², Graça Sampaio², Mário Morais-Almeida²

¹ Pediatric Department, Garcia de Orta Hospital, Almada, Portugal;

² Immunoallergy Department, CUF Descobertas Hospital, Lisbon, Portugal;

³ CEDOC, Chronic Diseases Research Center, Immunology, NOVA Medical School -
Faculdade de Ciências Médicas, Lisbon, Portugal

Corresponding Author:

Ângela Gaspar, MD

Immunoallergy Department, CUF Descobertas Hospital,

Rua Mário Botas, 1998-018 Lisboa, Portugal

Tel. +351210025200

Fax +351210025220

E-mail: angela.gaspar@sapo.pt

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Funding source: The authors declare that no funding was received.

Tree nuts anaphylaxis in preschool age children

Abstract

Introduction: The incidence of food-induced anaphylaxis (FIA) is increasing in young children. Although the commonest culprits are cow's milk and egg, FIA to tree nuts (TNs) have been increasing. **Objective:** Characterization of children referred to our allergy department due to TNs-induced anaphylaxis (TNs-FIA) during preschool age. **Materials and Methods:** We have retrospectively included 25 children with clinical history of preschool TNs-FIA, proven by allergological work-up. TNs sensitization was assessed by skin prick tests and/or specific IgE. **Results:** The mean age of the first anaphylactic episode was 3.1 ± 1.2 years. The majority (92%) had an allergic disease (52% asthma). The implicated TNs were cashew (11 children), walnut (8), pine nut (5), hazelnut (2) and almond (1). The reaction occurred after the first known ingestion in 68%. In 92%, symptoms appeared within 30 minutes after exposure. The most frequent clinical symptoms were mucocutaneous (96%), respiratory (80%) and gastrointestinal (52%). Twenty-one children were admitted to the emergency department, although only 48% were treated with epinephrine. An underneath IgE-mediated mechanism was proven in all cases. Immunologic cross-reactivity with other TNs was identified in 84%, and with peanut in 36%. Overall, in our center, TNs-FIA represents 18% of all causes of FIA. **Conclusions:** In preschool age children with TNs-FIA, cashew and walnut were the commonest implicated nuts. Most reactions occurred briefly after exposure to minimal amounts of TNs, demonstrating the high potency of these allergens. About one-third also had peanut sensitization. Potentially life-threatening TNs allergy can occur early in childhood and adequate management should be undertaken.

Keywords: Anaphylaxis; Children; Food allergy; Preschool age; Tree nuts.

Tree nuts anaphylaxis in preschool age children

Abstract

Introduction: The incidence of food-induced anaphylaxis (FIA) is increasing in young children. Although the commonest culprits are cow's milk and egg, FIA to tree nuts (TNs) have been increasing. **Objective:** Characterization of children referred to our allergy department due to TNs-induced anaphylaxis (TNs-FIA) during preschool age. **Materials and Methods:** We have retrospectively included 25 children with clinical history of preschool TNs-FIA, proven by allergological work-up. TNs sensitization was assessed by skin prick tests and/or specific IgE. **Results:** The mean age of the first anaphylactic episode was 3.1 ± 1.2 years. The majority (92%) had an allergic disease (52% asthma). The implicated TNs were cashew (11 children), walnut (8), pine nut (5), hazelnut (2) and almond (1). The reaction occurred after the first known ingestion in 68%. In 92%, symptoms appeared within 30 minutes after exposure. The most frequent clinical symptoms were mucocutaneous (96%), respiratory (80%) and gastrointestinal (52%). Twenty-one children were admitted to the emergency department, although only 48% were treated with epinephrine. An underlying IgE-mediated mechanism was proven in all cases. Immunologic cross-reactivity with other TNs was identified in 84%, and with peanut in 36%. Overall, in our center, TNs-FIA represents 18% of all causes of FIA. **Conclusions:** In preschool age children with TNs-FIA, cashew and walnut were the commonest implicated nuts. Most reactions occurred briefly after exposure to minimal amounts of TNs, demonstrating the high potency of these allergens. About one-third also had peanut sensitization. Potentially life-threatening TNs allergy can occur early in childhood and adequate management should be undertaken.

Keywords: Anaphylaxis; Children; Food allergy; Preschool age; Tree nuts.

Introduction

Food allergy usually develops early in life and affects up to 10% of children.¹⁻⁴ Its incidence and severity has been increasing worldwide, especially in preschool children.³⁻⁸ In this age group, the two most common food allergens are cow's milk and hen's egg.⁹⁻¹³ Nevertheless, severe allergic reactions to tree nuts (TNs) have been increasingly reported worldwide, both in raw form and within processed foods.^{7,8,14-16}

TNs are defined as a dry fruit composed of an inedible hard shell and a seed. The TNs that account for most allergic reactions are walnut, hazelnut, almond, pistachio, cashew, pecan nut, macadamia, brazil nut and pine nut.^{16,17} Although botanically unrelated, TNs and peanut (that belongs to the botanical family of *Leguminosae*) share many allergenic similarities.¹⁷

Overall, TNs allergy affects 0.5 to 3% of the population,^{17,18} representing 11 to 40% of cases of food-induced anaphylaxis (FIA).^{13,16,19} However, its prevalence differs according to the geographical region and dietary patterns.^{3, 6-18,20} In the United States, walnut is the most commonly reported culprit of TNs allergy, followed by cashew and almond.^{17,20} In Europe, hazelnut allergy is the most prevalent.¹⁷ The exact TNs allergy prevalence in Portugal is unknown.

TNs allergy typically presents by the age of two to three years old, often after the first known ingestion.¹⁶⁻¹⁸ Symptoms are typically immunoglobulin E (IgE) mediated and arise from a massive mediator release from mast cells and basophils degranulation, usually appearing few seconds to two hours after the contact with the allergen.^{3,7,21} The pathogenesis of non-IgE-mediated tree nuts allergy is less clear. Despite their underlying mechanism, non-IgE-mediated reactions are clinically indistinguishable and have similar acute management.²¹

The nature of the symptoms is often related to the age of the child, to the amount of the exposure (ingestion of large quantities is generally responsible for more rapid and pronounced reactions), and also to the ingested TN (cashew is associated with more severe reactions and airway narrowing).^{18,20} In infants up to two years old, the most common symptoms are cutaneous and gastrointestinal, and in preschool children, cutaneous, gastrointestinal, and respiratory symptoms are the most prominent. Severe symptoms as throat tightness are more frequent in older children and adolescents. Cardiovascular symptoms are less frequent than in adults.^{7,9,12}

Risk factors for the development of TNs allergy include severe atopic eczema, egg allergy and the presence of family or personal history of allergic disease.^{20,21} The

concomitant presence of asthma and eczema, a history of allergic reactions to extremely small amounts of food and a history of a previous food-induced anaphylactic reaction, are associated with more serious manifestations.^{16,18,20} TNs allergic reactions can be severe and account, together with peanut, for a high proportion of fatal FIA (up to 70 to 90%).^{15,16,17,20} The majority of fatal accidental reactions occur in adolescents and young adults (median age 27 years old).^{18,20}

Children with TNs allergy have a significantly increased risk of co-sensitization or co-allergy to other nuts.^{16,18,20} The sensitization rate to TNs is high, reaching up to 86%.¹⁵

Certain specific TN allergies appear to coexist more commonly, such as cashew with pistachio or walnut with pecan.^{16,18} Co-allergy between TNs and peanut ranges between 20% and 68%.^{16,18,20}

The aim of this study was to characterize children referred to our allergy department due to FIA related to TNs ingestion during preschool age.

Materials and Methods

We have retrospectively evaluated the medical reports of a group of children with FIA after TNs ingestion during preschool age, proven by allergological work-up, followed at the Immunoallergy department of CUF Descobertas Hospital (Lisbon, Portugal). The evaluation of these children was included in a systematic reporting of anaphylaxis which was implemented in our allergy outpatient department over eight years (from January 2011 to December 2018). All allergists of the department were invited to participate and a meeting was organized in order to promote the voluntary notification of cases of FIA.

The diagnosis of TNs induced-anaphylaxis was assumed when “at least one episode of severe systemic reaction” (as defined by the international consensus)^{7,15,22} occurred after the ingestion of TNs (described by the patient or caregiver) to which a confirmed sensitization has been proven. TNs were defined as walnut, almond, pistachio, cashew, pecan, hazelnut, macadamia, Brazil nut and pine nut.

The sensitization to TNs was assessed by *in vivo* skin prick tests (commercial extracts, *Bial-Aristegui*®, Bilbao, Spain) and/or *in vitro* assays of serum specific IgE (*ImmunoCAP*, *Thermo Fisher Scientific*®, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA). Skin prick tests were performed by an allergist using standard methodology; the result was considered positive if the mean wheal diameter was 3 mm or greater, with negative control (0.9% saline) and positive control (histamine 10mg/mL). Regarding *in vitro* tests, results were considered positive if specific IgE was 0.35 kU/L or greater. The sensitization to peanut and aeroallergens was also assessed.

In addition to this allergological diagnostic work-up, the authors collected demographic and clinical data: age, gender, family history of allergic disease, personal history of allergic disease and atopy (defined as positive skin prick test for at least one aeroallergen), date of the first anaphylactic reaction and a detailed description of the clinical manifestations (mucocutaneous, respiratory, gastrointestinal and cardiovascular). Moreover, the following information has also been collected: implicated TNs, elapsed time between exposure and the onset of symptoms, estimated amount of ingested TNs, place of anaphylaxis occurrence, attendance to the emergency department, description of the performed treatment (including information about the use of epinephrine) and management after the anaphylactic event (including information about prescription of epinephrine auto-injectors).

A descriptive statistical analysis was performed using SPSS for Windows version 20.0®.

Results

Twenty-five children with a confirmed diagnosis of TNs-induced anaphylaxis during preschool age were included. The current mean age was 8.5 years (SD \pm 3.8 years) and 17 (68%) were male.

Almost all (92%) children had a personal history of other allergic disease: 20 (80%) allergic rhinitis or rhinoconjunctivitis, 14 (56%) atopic dermatitis, 13 (52%) asthma, 4 (16%) other food allergy (3 with cow's milk allergy, 2 with egg allergy, 1 with lamb allergy and 1 with peach allergy) and 1 (4%) eosinophilic esophagitis. Eighteen children (72%) were atopic (14 sensitized to house dust-mites, 7 to pollen, and 4 to pets). A family history of allergy was identified in 21 children (84%); four had family history of food allergy (2 with allergy to crustaceans, 1 to walnut and 1 to cow's milk).

The mean age of the first anaphylactic reaction to TNs was 3.1 years (SD \pm 1.2 years; minimum age of 14 months, maximum age of five years). In 16 children (64%) the first episode occurred in the first three years of life.

The TNs involved in the allergic reaction were: cashew in 11 children, walnut in 8, pine nut in 5, hazelnut in 2 and almond in 1 child. There was one case of walnut and hazelnut-induced anaphylaxis and one case with cashew and almond.

In 19 children (76%), the anaphylactic reaction was triggered by the ingestion of extremely small amounts of the TN involved. Ten children ingested a vestigial content, nine children ingested fragments or a single TN, five children ingested two or more tree TNs (maximum of three units) and one child ingested an unknown quantity.

The anaphylactic reaction occurred after the first known TN ingestion in 17 cases (68%). Only one child had a known allergy to walnut, and the anaphylactic episode occurred after an accidental exposure during holidays.

Regarding the place where the allergic reaction occurred, in 18 children (72%) the anaphylactic episode occurred at home, in 4 (16%) at a restaurant, in 1 (4%) at the beach and in 2 (8%) on vacation at recreational sites.

Concerning the reported symptoms, 24 patients (96%) had mucocutaneous symptoms (urticaria, angioedema, pruritus), 20 (80%) respiratory symptoms (cough, wheezing, dyspnea, stridor), 13 (52%) gastrointestinal symptoms (vomiting, diarrhea, abdominal

pain) and three (12%) cardiovascular symptoms (prostration). Four children (16%) presented life-threatening glottis edema. There were no fatal events.

Considering the infant subgroup (children who had two or less than two years old at the time of the first anaphylactic episode), corresponding to ten children (40% of the studied sample), all (100%) had mucocutaneous symptoms, eight (80%) respiratory (including two cases of glottis edema), four (40%) gastrointestinal and one (10%) cardiovascular manifestations. In the older children subgroup (60% of the studied sample), 14 (93%) had mucocutaneous symptoms, 12 (80%) respiratory (including two cases of glottis edema), 9 (60%) gastrointestinal and 2 (13%) cardiovascular manifestations. Due to the small sample size, the authors did not carry out a comparative analysis between the two subgroups.

In 23 children (92%), symptoms appeared within the first 30 minutes after contact with the implicated allergen. In seventeen children (68%) the reaction occurred in the first five minutes, in six (24%) between five and thirty minutes and in two (8%) more than 30 minutes after the TN ingestion. The maximum time elapsed between the TN intake and the anaphylactic reaction was two hours (in one girl, after the ingestion of cereals with walnut and hazelnut).

Twenty-one out of the 25 children (84%), were admitted to the emergency department during this first anaphylactic episode. However, among patients who have been observed in the emergency department, only 10 (48%) were treated with intramuscular epinephrine. We must emphasize that an auto-injector epinephrine was prescribed to all children in our allergy department.

In all cases, **an underlying IgE mediated mechanism** was proven, by positive skin prick test and/or positive specific IgE to the culprit TN. Immunologic cross-reactivity (positive skin testing and/or *in vitro* immunoassays for specific IgE) with other TNs was confirmed in 21 children (84%) and with peanut in 9 (36%).

Overall, TNs induced-anaphylaxis represented 18% of all causes of FIA in our department, from a total of 277 cases of anaphylaxis related to food ingestion.

Moreover, regarding the triggers of FIA in the pediatric age group (<18 years), from a total of 158 **patients, although some patients reacted to more than one food allergen**, the most frequent implicated foods were: cow's milk in 51 (32%); TNs in 34 (22%); egg in 20 (13%); fresh fruits in 16 (10%), 9 to *Rosacea* fruits; peanut in 13 (8%); shellfish in 10 (6%), 7 to shrimp; fish in 7 (4%); seeds in 4 (3%), 3 to sesame seed and 1 to sunflower seed.

Discussion

FIA is an important health problem, with an increasing incidence in preschool age.^{5,6,8,12} TNs allergy is becoming more frequent worldwide, particularly in young children.^{14-16,20} In our center, we found TNs as an important trigger of FIA (18% of all FIA reports). We stress out that among the causes of FIA in our pediatric patients, it represents the second cause of FIA (22%), after cow's milk (32%).

In our pediatric sample, cashew and walnut were the most implicated TNs in preschool anaphylaxis. These are also the most allergenic TNs in the United States.^{17, 21} However, hazelnut is the most commonly reported TN allergy in Europe,^{17, 21} although allergy to hazelnut is often seen in the context of pollen-fruit syndrome due to PR 10 proteins sensitization, which causes mainly oral allergy syndrome and usually no anaphylactic reactions.

In a recent study also performed in our country, TNs were found to be the main cause of FIA (19%). In fact, in 62 patients observed in a food allergy outpatient department at Coimbra, walnut and hazelnut have been spotted as the most implicated TNs.¹⁹ It seems that the reported prevalence can vary significantly, according to the age range of the studied population and the geographical region.

Most of the anaphylactic reactions occurred with the first known ingestion of TNs, and briefly after exposure to minimal amounts of the implicated food. This clearly demonstrates the high potency of these allergens. Therefore, successful elimination diets should include systematic education of the patient and their caregivers about food allergen labelling, proper food preparation and the risk of cross-contamination and hidden food ingredients.³ We highlight that the caregivers of these children may not be aware of the eventual accidental exposures that may have occurred and may have contributed to the occurrence of sensitization. Therefore, the diagnosis of food allergy cannot be excluded even if the caregivers deny previous contact of the child with the implicated allergen.

Mucocutaneous symptoms were the most frequent manifestations in these children. Respiratory and gastrointestinal symptoms were also very frequent, as reported by other authors in preschool age,^{7,23} being cardiovascular symptoms more uncommon than in adulthood. There were no fatalities, but four children presented life-threatening glottis edema. Indeed, TNs can cause severe allergic reactions.

In all studied children, **an underlying IgE mediated mechanism** was proven (by *in vivo* or *in vitro* tests). In fact, the most common form of FIA is IgE-mediated. Non-IgE-

mediated TNs allergy is less frequent, especially in children.²¹ A high rate of co-sensitization and co-allergy to other TNs and to peanut is observed among different populations.^{16,18,20,21} In this sample, about one-third of children had peanut sensitization and more than four fifths were sensitized to **other** TNs. The high homology between their proteins can explain this strong association. We stress out that these children were considered to be included in the high-risk group since they had personal or family history of allergy.

The diagnosis of TNs allergy is made by the combination of a typical clinical presentation and evidence of TNs sensitization, assessed by *in vivo* tests (skin prick tests) or *in vitro* tests (identification of specific IgE antibodies in the serum).^{7, 6,18} Skin prick test equal to or greater than 8 mm or specific IgE test equal to or greater than 15 kU/L is highly predictive of clinical allergy, although do not predict its severity.^{16,18} Double-blind, placebo-controlled oral food challenges are the gold standard for the diagnosis of food allergy.^{7,16} They are usually not necessary in TNs allergy, but may be used to confirm or refute the diagnosis, when history and test results are conflicting.^{7,16,18} In our study **no** oral challenge test has been performed since all included children had a severe systemic reaction clearly related to the TN ingestion and had a proven sensitization to the culprit TN.

As reported by other authors,^{24,25} less than half of children admitted to the emergency department during the anaphylactic episode were treated with epinephrine. Intramuscular epinephrine injection in the anterolateral thigh is the first-line treatment for anaphylaxis and should be administered as soon as possible.^{7,12,26} It is the only effective drug to prevent progression of the anaphylactic reaction and its delayed administration is considered a risk factor for a fatal outcome.^{3,7,16} The underuse of epinephrine by healthcare professionals can be explained by the complexity involved in establishing the diagnosis of anaphylaxis, lack of knowledge of how to administer epinephrine and use epinephrine auto-injectors, and misconceptions about epinephrine safety.^{15,25} To help improving the appropriate use of epinephrine in patients diagnosed with anaphylaxis within the emergency setting, physician training programs should be implemented.

Anaphylaxis may be even more difficult to recognize and diagnose in younger children, mainly in infants, due to inherent differences in their ability to communicate their symptoms.^{12,23,27,28} This difficulty may also be explained by the subjectivity of the clinical symptoms such as abdominal cramps, sudden cry and irritability, common in

this age group, where frequently occurs the first clinical manifestation of the sensitization to the allergen.^{12,23,27}

An epinephrine auto-injector was prescribed to all children at the allergy outpatient department after the first TN induced anaphylactic event. As found in the literature, the prescription of epinephrine auto-injectors is strongly recommended for the proper management of future occurrence of anaphylaxis.^{3,16,18} Furthermore, encouraging these patients and caregivers to carry the auto-injectors all the time is an essential part of training.¹⁸

TNs allergy can be severe, and usually persists over time, although resolution has been documented in some children.¹⁸ Although it was initially believed that TN allergy rarely resolves, subsequent studies have shown that tolerance can develop in a minority of patients over time (up to 9%).^{3,18,20} The predictors of outgrowing TN allergies are a low or undetectable specific IgE levels, absence of other food/TN allergy and a history of outgrowing peanut allergy.³

The pillars of food allergy management are strict avoidance of the culprit allergens, prompt treatment of symptoms upon accidental exposure, patient and caregiver education (including food allergen labeling, food preparation and the risks of occult exposure), and management of allergic comorbidities.^{3,16,18} Allergen avoidance diets should be specific and limited to the relevant foods to minimize both risks of an allergic reaction and over-restriction.^{3,20} Complete nut avoidance is the safest approach, reducing the risk of an accidental reaction. This recommendation aims to simplify the message and improve avoidance while eating in schools and restaurants. However, it is difficult to achieve and can result in a significant restriction of certain food products. There are also nutritional, cultural and immunological arguments for the allowance consumption of other nuts.¹⁸ If a patient is already consuming a nut that he is not allergic to, it is reasonable to continue consuming it on a regular basis.^{18,20} The decision to avoid all nuts (all nut exclusion) or only the culprit nut (single nut exclusion) should ultimately involve the patient and his family.¹⁶ Although in adults it is safe to avoid specifically the culprit nut in the patient's diet, at pediatric age, the decision to avoid all nuts is more frequent, mainly at school. Safe nuts should still be avoided within a restaurant environment, due to the risk of misidentification or inadvertent substitution with other nut types.

To our knowledge, this is the first Portuguese study about TNs-induced anaphylactic reaction in a preschool age population. However, the authors findings might not be directly applicable to other populations due to geographical and cultural differences.

In conclusion, potentially life-threatening TNs allergy may occur early in childhood and adequate management should be undertaken. There is a need for further studies to better characterize TNs-induced anaphylaxis prevalence and clinical manifestations in the young children population, particularly in Europe.

References

- 1 - Sicherer SH, Sampson HA. Food allergy: epidemiology, pathogenesis, diagnosis, and treatment. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2014; 133(2):291-307.
- 2 - Umasunthar T, Leonardi-Bee J, Turner PJ, Hodes M, Gore C, Warner JO, *et al*. Incidence of food anaphylaxis in people with food allergy: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Exp Allergy* 2015; 45(11):1621-36.
- 3 - Lee S. IgE-mediated food allergies in children: prevalence, triggers, and management. *Korean J Pediatr* 2017; 60(4):99-105.
- 4 - Sicherer SH, Sampson HA. Food allergy: A review and update on epidemiology, pathogenesis, diagnosis, prevention, and management. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2018; 141(1):41-58.
- 5 - Liew WK, Williamson E, Tang ML. Anaphylaxis fatalities and admissions in Australia. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2009; 123(2):434-42.
- 6 - Panesar SS, Javad S, de Silva D, Nwaru BI, Hickstein L, Muraro A, *et al*; EAACI Food Allergy and Anaphylaxis Group. The epidemiology of anaphylaxis in Europe: a systematic review. *Allergy* 2013; 68(11):1353-61.
- 7 - Muraro A, Roberts G, Worm M, Bilò MB, Brockow K, Fernández Rivas M, *et al*. Anaphylaxis: guidelines from the European Academy of Allergy and Clinical Immunology. *Allergy* 2014; 69(8):1026-45.
- 8 - Motosue MS, Peljolo MF, Van Houten HK, Shah ND, Campbell RL. National trends in emergency department visits and hospitalizations for food-induced anaphylaxis in US children. *Pediatr Allergy Immunol* 2018; 29(5):538-44.
- 9 - Braganza S, Acworth J, Mckinnon D, Peake JE, Brown AF. Paediatric emergency department anaphylaxis: different patterns from adults. *Arch Dis Child* 2006; 91(2):159-63.
- 10 - Morais-Almeida M, Gaspar A, Santa-Marta C, Piedade S, Leiria-Pinto P, Pires G, *et al*. Anaphylaxis: from notification and knowledge to management. *Rev Port Imunoalergologia* 2007; 15(1):19-41.
- 11 - Silva R, Gomes E, Cunha L, Falcao H. Anaphylaxis in children: a nine years retrospective study (2001-2009). *Allergol Immunopathol (Madr)* 2012; 40(1):31-6.
- 12 - Silva D, Gaspar Â, Couto M, Morais-Almeida M. Anaphylaxis at pediatric age: From infancy to adolescence. *Rev Port Imunoalergologia* 2013; 21(3):157-75.
- 13 - Gaspar Â, Santos N, Piedade S, Santa-Marta C, Pires G, Sampaio G, *et al*. One-year survey of paediatric anaphylaxis in an allergy department. *Eur Ann Allergy Clin Immunol* 2015; 47(6):197-205.
- 14 - Johnson J, Malinovski A, Alving K, Lidholm J, Borres MP, Nordvall L. Ten-year review reveals changing trends and severity of allergic reactions to nuts and other foods. *Acta Paediatr* 2014; 103(8):862-7.

- 15 - Jeong K, Lee SY, Ahn K, Kim J, Lee HR, Suh DI, *et al.* A multicenter study on anaphylaxis caused by peanut, tree nuts, and seeds in children and adolescents. *Allergy* 2017; 72(3):507-10.
- 16 - Weinberger T, Sicherer S. Current perspectives on tree nut allergy: a review. *J Asthma Allergy* 2018; 11:41-51.
- 17 - McWilliam V, Koplin J, Lodge C, Tang M, Dharmage S, Allen K. The Prevalence of Tree Nut Allergy: A Systematic Review. *Curr Allergy Asthma Rep* 2015; 15(9):54.
- 18 - Stiefel G, Anagnostou K, Boyle RJ, Brathwaite N, Ewan P, Fox AT, *et al.* BSACI guideline for the diagnosis and management of peanut and tree nut allergy. *Clin Exp Allergy* 2017; 47(6):719-39.
- 19 - Fernandes RA, Regateiro F, Pereira C, Faria E, Pita J, Todo-Bom A, *et al.* Anaphylaxis in a food allergy outpatient department: one-year review. *Eur Ann Allergy Clin Immunol* 2018; 50(2):81-8.
- 20 - Muraro A, Werfel T, Hoffmann-Sommergruber K, Roberts G, Peyer K, Bindslev-Jensen C, *et al.* EAACI food allergy and anaphylaxis guidelines: diagnosis and management of food allergy. *Allergy* 2014; 69(8):1008-25.
- 21 - Liu M, Burks AW, Green TD. Tree nut allergy: risk factors for development, mitigation of reaction risk and current efforts in desensitization. *Expert Rev Clin Immunol* 2015; 11(5):673-9.
- 22 - Sampson HA, Muñoz-Furlong A, Campbell RL, Adkinson NF Jr, Bock SA, Branum A, *et al.* Second symposium on the definition and management of anaphylaxis: summary report – Second National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Disease/Food Allergy and Anaphylaxis Network symposium. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2006; 117(2):391-7.
- 23 - Rudders SA, Banerji A, Clark S, Camargo CA Jr. Age-related differences in the clinical presentation of food-induced anaphylaxis. *J Pediatr* 2011; 158(2):326-8.
- 24 - Solé D, Ivancevich JC, Borges MS, Coelho MA, Rosário NA, Arduoso L, *et al.*; Latin American Anaphylaxis Working Group. Anaphylaxis in Latin American children and adolescents: the Online Latin American Survey on Anaphylaxis (OLASA). *Allergol Immunopathol (Madr)* 2012; 40(6):331-5.
- 25 - Prince BT, Mikham J, Stukus DR. Underuse of epinephrine for the treatment of anaphylaxis: missed opportunities. *J Asthma Allergy* 2018; 11:143-51.
- 26 - Carneiro-Leão L, Santos N, Gaspar Â. Anaphylaxis, Diagnosis and Treatment. *Acta Med Port* 2013; 31(2):134-5.
- 27 - Simon EF. Anaphylaxis in infants: can recognition and management be improved? *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2007; 120(3):537-40.
- 28 - Desai H A. Infant anaphylaxis: the importance of early recognition. *J Asthma Allergy* 2013; 6:103-7.

Dear Editors in Chief of "European Annals of Allergy and Clinical Immunology"

We submit for publication the manuscript "**Tree nuts anaphylaxis in preschool age children**" to the *European Annals of Allergy and Clinical Immunology* for the Section of *Original Articles*.

All the authors confirm that the article is original, does not infringe upon any copyright or other proprietary right of any third party, is not under consideration by another journal, and has not been previously published. The authors also confirm that there are none conflict of interest and none funding source. The authors transfer all copyright ownership of the manuscript to the *European Annals of Allergy and Clinical Immunology* in the event the work is published.

I sign for and accept responsibility for releasing this material on behalf of all authors. The manuscript is submitted according to *Author guidelines*.

Lisbon, 27 July 2019



Ângela Gaspar, MD
Corresponding author

Immunoallergy Specialist
Immunoallergy Department, CUF-Descobertas Hospital, Lisbon, Portugal

Manuscript accepted for publication

Authors' Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest

To be completed and signed by each author of any manuscript submitted for publication in
European Annals of Allergy and Clinical Immunology

Conflicts of Interest

A conflict of interest may exist when an author or the author's institution has a financial or other relationship with other people or organizations that may inappropriately influence (bias) the author's work. Financial relationships are easily identifiable, but conflicts can also occur because of personal relationships, academic competition, or intellectual passion. A conflict can be actual or potential and full disclosure to the Journal is the safest course. All submissions to the Journal must include disclosure of all relationships that could be viewed as presenting a potential conflict of interest. The Journal may use such information as a basis for editorial decisions and may publish such disclosures if they are believed to be important to readers in judging the manuscript. A decision may be made by the Journal not to publish on the basis of the declared conflict.

Disclosure Statement for Authors

At the end of the text, under a subheading "Disclosure Statement", all authors must disclose any actual or potential conflict of interest including any financial, personal or other relationships with other people or organizations within three (3) years of beginning the work submitted that could inappropriately influence (bias) their work. Examples of potential conflicts of interest which should be disclosed include employment, consultancies, stock ownership, honoraria, paid expert testimony, patent applications/registrations, and grants or other funding. Potential conflicts of interest should be disclosed at the earliest possible stage. If there are no conflicts of interest, authors should state that.

The Role of the Funding Source

If funding has been provided, all sources of funding must be declared as an acknowledgement. Authors must describe the role of the study sponsor(s), if any, in study design; in the collection, analysis, and interpretation of data; in the writing of the report; and in the decision to submit the paper for publication. If the funding source had no such involvement, the authors should so state.

Disclosure Statement

Title of manuscript: **Tree nuts anaphylaxis in preschool age children**

I, Ângela Gaspar, certify that all relevant financial, personal, or professional relationships with other people or organizations that pose a conflict of interest, that could reasonably be perceived as posing a conflict of interest, or that could potentially influence or bias my work described in the manuscript have been fully and truthfully disclosed in the space below. Such relationships include affiliations and financial involvement within the past 3 years and in the foreseeable future (as specified below) with any organization or entity that has financial interests in or financial conflicts with the subject matter or materials discussed in the manuscript. I hereby agree to the publication of all such disclosures in the Acknowledgement section of the article should the manuscript be accepted for publication in European Annals of Allergy and Clinical Immunology.

Check each item that applies and give details in the space provided (e.g., own stock in XXXX Pharmaceutical Company). If you have no relationships or conflicts to disclose, you must indicate "None" in the appropriate category(ies) below:

Employment

Research funding (Include funds received or pending for research in which you were the principal investigator, collaborator, or consultant)

Other Research Support (Includes receipt of drugs, supplies, equipment, or other in-kind support)

Honoraria (Include fees received for speaking during symposia and other meetings or occasions)

Expert Witness (Serving as an expert witness, consultant or otherwise providing a deposition, testimony, or other information, analysis or document for a lawsuit, government agency proceeding, grand jury, or other legal proceeding, even if the case did not go to trial)

Ownership Interest (Stocks or stock options; partnership, membership, or any rights in any patent or other intellectual property)

Other

None

Author corresponding's name (please print): Ângela Gaspar

Ângela Gaspar

Author corresponding's signature:

Date: 27-07-2019

edra

LSWR®

EUROPEAN ANNALS OF ALLERGY AND CLINICAL IMMUNOLOGY
Journal Publishing Agreement

Please provide us with the following information, review our policies and the publishing agreement, and indicate your acceptance of the terms.

Article entitled:

Tree nuts anaphylaxis in preschool age children

Authors:

Joana Matias, Ângela Gaspar, Luís-Miguel Borrego, Susana Piedade, Graça Pires, Cristina Arêde, Graça Sampaio, Mário Morais-Almeida

Corresponding author:

Ângela Gaspar

YOUR STATUS

- I am the sole author of the manuscript.
- I am one author signing on behalf of all co-authors of the manuscript

DATA PROTECTION

Our staff at EDRA LSWR SpA (the "Publisher") and its affiliated companies will be contacting you concerning the publishing of your Article and occasionally for marketing purposes.

- We respect your privacy. Please tick the box if you do not wish to receive news, promotions and special offers about our products and services.



EDRA LSWR S.p.A.
Via G. Spadolini 7
20141 Milano - Italia
Telefono: +39 02 88184.1
Telefax: +39 02 88184.301
www.edralswr.it

Iscrizione al Registro delle
Imprese di Milano n. 2000629
Partita Iva e C.F. 08056040960
Cap. Soc. Euro 300.000,00 i.v.

THE PUBLISHING AGREEMENT

Assignment of publishing rights

I hereby assign to “Associazione Italiana Allergologi Immunologi Territoriali e Ospedalieri - AAITO” (Italian Association of Hospital Allergist and Immunologists - the “Association”) the copyright in the manuscript identified above (government authors not electing to transfer agree to assign an exclusive publishing and distribution license) and any supplemental tables, illustrations or other information submitted therewith that are intended for publication as part of the manuscript (the “Article”) in all forms and media (whether now known or hereafter developed), throughout the world, in all languages, for the full term of copyright, effective when and if the article is accepted for publication.

This transfer includes the right to provide the Article in electronic and online forms and systems. With respect to supplemental data that I wish to make accessible through a link in the Article, I hereby grant a non-exclusive license for such linking. If I have agreed with the Association to make available such supplemental data on a site or through a service of the Association, I hereby grant a non-exclusive license for such publication, posting and making available, and further permit indexing and archiving.

Articles may sometimes be accepted for publication but later rejected in the publication process, even in some cases after public posting in “Articles in Press” form, in which event all rights will revert to the author.

Revisions and addenda

I understand that no revisions, additional terms or addenda to this Agreement can be accepted without the Association express written consent.

Note: authors at institutions that place restrictions on copyright assignments or that assert an institutional right to distribute or provide access to the works of institutional authors, must obtain an express waiver from those institutions releasing the author from such restrictions to enable the acceptance of this publishing agreement.

Retention of Rights for Scholarly Purposes (see Definitions below)

I understand that I retain or am hereby granted (without the need to obtain further permission) the Retained Rights (see description below and definitions), and that no rights in patents, trademarks or other intellectual property rights are transferred to the Association.

The Retained Rights include:

- the right to use the Preprint or Accepted Author Manuscript for Personal Use, Internal Institutional Use and for Permitted Scholarly Posting; and
- the right to use the Published Journal Article for Personal Use and Internal Institutional Use,

but in each case as noted in the Definitions excluding Commercial Use or Systematic Distribution (unless expressly agreed in writing by the Association).



Author Representations/Ethics and Disclosure

I affirm the Author Representations noted below, and confirm that I have reviewed and complied with the relevant Instructions to Authors, Ethics in Publishing policy, and Conflicts of Interest disclosure. Please note that some journals may require that all co-authors sign and submit Conflicts of Interest disclosure forms. I am also aware of the publisher's policies with respect to retractions and withdrawal.

I am also aware of the publisher's policies with respect to retractions and withdrawal and the journal home page.

Author representations

- The article I have submitted to the journal for review is original, has been written by the stated authors and has not been published elsewhere.
- The article is not currently being considered for publication by any other journal and will not be submitted for such review while under review by this journal.
- The article contains no libelous or other unlawful statements and does not contain any materials that violate any personal or proprietary rights of any other person or entity.
- I have obtained written permission from copyright owners for any excerpts from copyrighted works that are included and have credited the sources in my article.
- If I am using any personal details or images of patients or research subjects, I have obtained written permission or consent from the patient (or, where applicable, the next of kin).
- If the article was prepared jointly with other authors, I have informed the co-author(s) of the terms of this publishing agreement and that I am signing on their behalf as their agent, and I am authorized to do so.

DEFINITIONS

Accepted Author Manuscript: ("AAM")

Author's version of the manuscript of an article that has been accepted for publication and which may include any author-incorporated changes suggested through the processes of submission processing, peer review, and editor-author communications. AAMs should not include other publisher value added contributions such as copy-editing, formatting and (if relevant) pagination, and should include the Appropriate Bibliographic Citation and a link to the final publication.

Appropriate Bibliographic Citation

Authors posting Accepted Author Manuscript online should later add a citation for the Published Journal Article indicating that the Article was subsequently published, and may mention the journal title provided they add the following text at the beginning of the document:

"NOTICE: this is the author's version of a work that was accepted for publication in European Annals of Allergy and Clinical Immunology. Changes resulting from the publishing process, such as peer review, editing, corrections, structural formatting, and other quality control mechanisms may



not be reflected in this document. Changes may have been made to this work since it was submitted for publication. A definitive version was subsequently published in European Annals of Allergy and Clinical Immunology [VOL#, ISSUE#, (DATE)...]”.

Commercial Use

The use or posting of articles for commercial gain or to substitute for the services provided directly by the journal including:

- the posting by companies of their employee-authored works for use by customers of such companies (e.g. pharmaceutical companies and physician-prescribers); and
- commercial exploitation such as directly associating advertising with such posting or the charging of fees for document delivery or access.

Internal Institutional Use

Use by the author's institution for classroom teaching at the institution (including distribution of copies, paper or electronic, and use in coursepacks and courseware programs) for scholarly purposes. For authors employed by companies, the use by that company for internal training purposes.

Personal Use

Use by an author in the author's classroom teaching (including distribution of copies, paper or electronic), distribution of copies to research colleagues for their personal use, use in a subsequent compilation of the author's works, inclusion in a thesis or dissertation, preparation of other derivative works such as extending the article to book length form, or otherwise using or re-using portions or excerpts in other works (with full acknowledgment of the original publication of the article).

Permitted Scholarly Posting

Voluntary posting of AAMs or Preprints by an author on open Web sites operated by the author or the author's institution for scholarly purposes, as determined by the author, or (in connection with Preprints) on preprint servers, but not for Commercial Use or Systematic Distribution. The author should include the Appropriate Bibliographic Citation when posting AAMs. Deposit in or posting to subject-oriented or centralised repositories (such as PubMed Central), or institutional repositories with mandates for systematic postings, is permitted only under specific agreements between the Association and the repository, agency or institution, and only consistent with the Association's policies concerning such repositories.

Preprint

Author's own write-up of research results and analysis that has not been refereed, nor had any other value added to it by the Publisher (such as formatting, copy editing, and the like).

Published Journal Article

The definitive final record of published research that appears or will appear in the Journal and embodies all value-adding Publisher activities including copy-editing, formatting and (if relevant) pagination.



Systematic Distribution

Policies or other mechanisms designed to aggregate and openly disseminate manuscripts or articles, or to substitute for journal-provided services, including:

- the systematic distribution to others via e-mail lists or listservers (to parties other than known colleagues), whether for a fee or for free;
- the posting of links to sponsored articles by commercial third parties including pharmaceutical companies;
- institutional, funding body or government manuscript posting policies or mandates that aim to aggregate and openly distribute the accepted, peer reviewed manuscripts or published journal articles authored by its researchers or funded researchers; and
- subject repositories that aim to aggregate and openly distribute accepted peer reviewed manuscripts or published journal articles authored by researchers in specific subject areas.

Angela Gaspar

Signed:

Name printed: Angela Gaspar

Title and Company (if employer representative):

Date: 27-07-2019

Please return the completed and signed original of this form by mail or fax, or by e-mailing a scanned copy of the signed original, retaining a copy for your files, to:

Journal Publishing Editor:
c.scelsi@lswr.it

EDRA LSWR SpA
Journal Editorial Office
Att. Chiara Scelsi
Via Spadolini, 7
I-20141 Milano

Fax:
02 88184.301

edra

LSWR®